Redwood Academy of Ukiah

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



Elna Gordon, Administrator

Principal, Redwood Academy of Ukiah

About Our School

My name is Elna Gordon, and I am privileged to serve as Redwood Academy's principal. Redwood Academy is a very special place to me, as it is the place where I began my teaching career eighteen years ago. Over that time, I have witnessed an amazing commitment to learning from both staff and students. This is small and supportive environment, serving grades 7-12, where we take our mission of preparing students for college and independent living very seriously. As a result, we, the Redwood Academy staff, have the honor of watching our students grow into young adults before they head off to college. If you have any questions about this SARC, or wish to inquire further about Redwood Academy, please contact our office at 707-467-0500.

Contact

Redwood Academy of Ukiah 1059 North State St. Ukiah, CA 95482-3413

Phone: 707-467-0500 E-mail: <u>egordon@redwoodacademy.org</u>

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

District Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)					
District Name	Ukiah Unified				
Phone Number	(707) 472-5002				
Superintendent	Debra Kubin				
E-mail Address	<u>dkubin@uusd.net</u>				
Web Site	www.uusd.net				

School Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)					
School Name	Redwood Academy of Ukiah				
Street	1059 North State St.				
City, State, Zip	Ukiah, Ca, 95482-3413				
Phone Number	707-467-0500				
Principal	Elna Gordon, Administrator				
E-mail Address	egordon@redwoodacademy.org				
Web Site	http://www.caredwoods.org				
County-District-School (CDS) Code	23656152330413				

Last updated: 1/3/2018

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

The mission of Redwood Academy is to prepare students for college and independent living in a safe, challenging, and well-managed charter school.

Preparing students for college means that you will have the support to meet the University of California / California / California State University systems requirements, known as 'a-g' requirements. These are rigorous, world class standards but with help and motivation, every student who attends the Academy can attain these standards. This does not mean that you must have been excelling in school prior to coming to the Academy ~ we are here to help you bridge any gap between your previous education and college. We are also here to help you build on your previous success and challenge you to work toward mastery level in all of your classes.

Preparing for independent living means that you will develop skills in areas that relate to your success after school. In the coming school year, 7th and 8th graders will develop skills related to living in the community while 9th, 10th, 11th and 12th graders will work to set goals and create plans for their future education. All students will work on specific competencies in regular classes and will participate in school-wide social skill development.


We strive to provide this preparation in a school that is physically and emotionally safe. You are a critical part of ensuring this safety by behaving respectfully toward others. If you see a condition that you think is unsafe or if you feel unsafe for any reason, it is your responsibility to report this immediately to any member of the staff. It is also your responsibility to behave in a way that is safe for yourself and others.

We strive to provide this preparation in a school that is challenging. If work is too hard or too easy, you will be bored. We will work closely with you to determine what level of work is just right. Sometimes you will have to learn things you think are boring or you will have to do work that does not seem meaningful; we hope this will be minimal and that most of the learning will be immediately valuable to you. If you do not feel challenged, let us know.

Finally, we strive to provide a charter school that is well-managed. Charter school laws provide a special opportunity to improve the quality of public education, and we intend to make sure that we live up to the expectation of that opportunity. With your help, our procedures and processes will be efficient, our information will be timely and accurate, and every dollar we spend will be used to help students achieve. We welcome your ideas.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	24
Grade 8	31
Grade 9	29
Grade 10	26
Grade 11	14
Grade 12	19
Total Enrollment	143



Last updated: 1/3/2018

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.7 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.4 %
Asian	5.6 %
Filipino	0.7 %
Hispanic or Latino	28.0 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0 %
White	58.7 %
Two or More Races	4.9 %
Other	0.0 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42.0 %
English Learners	2.1 %
Students with Disabilities	3.5 %
Foster Youth	1.4 %

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
 School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District	
	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18	2017- 18	
With Full Credential	11	10	11	11	
Without Full Credential	0	1	0	0	
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0	



Last updated: 1/3/2018

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which the data were collected: January 2015

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McDougall LittellThe Language of Literature		0.0 %
	California CollectionsHoughton Mifflin Harcourt		
Mathematics	Big Ideas MathA Common Core Curriculum: Course 2, Course III, Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra II Key Curriculum PressPre-Calculus, Calculus		0.0 %
Science	HoltLife Science, Physical Science		0.0 %
	GlencoeBiology		
	Prentice HallChemistry		
	GemcoeEarth Science		
History-Social Science	History AliveMedieval World History, US History McDougal LitellModern World History Houghton MifflinThe Endruing Vision GlencoeUnited States Government MyersPsychology for AP		0.0 %
Foreign Language	Prentice HallRealidades I,II,III		0.0 %
Health	NA		0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	GlencoeTheater Arts		0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The Redwood Academy campus provides an office with a library, staff room, and three offices. There are nine permanent classrooms (Amherst, Berkeley, Chico, Davis, Exeter, Fullerton, Georgetown, Harvard, and Irvine). Students use the Junior building for morning, noon, and extra-curricular activities. Our campus is small, allowing for tight supervision, which insures a high degree of safety. Our facilities are clean and maintained on an ongoing basis to ensure good repair. Students participate in daily recycling duties in an effort to make sure classroom waste is handled in a responsible manner. Our school grounds, buildings, and restrooms are cleaned regularly and monitored throughout the day.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2017

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	HVAC systems for 6 classrooms were replaced as a part of the Prop 39 energy saving project.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	Continue to replace classroom carpets as needed.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	Replaced some of the aging paneling on buildings.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2017

Overall Rating

Good

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAS] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

	Per	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards						
	Sch	iool	Dis	trict	St	ate		
Subject	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17		
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	86%	89%	36%	35%	48%	48%		
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	64%	77%	23%	24%	36%	37%		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	68	65	95.59%	89.23%
Male	24	24	100.00%	95.83%
Female	44	41	93.18%	85.37%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	13	13	100.00%	84.62%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	43	41	95.35%	90.24%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29	27	93.10%	85.19%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	68	65	95.59%	76.92%
Male	24	24	100.00%	79.17%
Female	44	41	93.18%	75.61%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	13	13	100.00%	61.54%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	43	41	95.35%	80.49%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29	27	93.10%	77.78%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight and Ten

		Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Subject	Sch	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	87.0%	89.0%	39.0%	43.0%	56%	54%	

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016-17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	83.2%
2015-16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	91.7%

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

	Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Grade Level	Four of Six Fitness Standards	Five of Six Fitness Standards	Six of Six Fitness Standards		
7	42.9%	4.8%	4.8%		
9	11.5%	23.1%	3.8%		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Redwood Academy welcomes parent involvement in governance through a Board of Directors, the School Site Council, and Parent Advisory meetings. Parents attend orientation with their student prior to enrollment so that they understand the school's Academic, Behavior, and Dress Codes and know who to contact for help with student concerns and volunteer opportunities. Parents are able to visit their children in class, chaperone events, transport students to community sites and college visits, and access their student's grades in each class through a web based program named PowerSchool. Redwood parents are expected to attend Demonstration Night three times a year and the Promotion, Awards, and Graduation Ceremony on the last day of school. Parents are encouraged to complete the Parent Satisfaction Survey; previous results have shown a high level of satisfaction with comments and other responses used by the staff to improve services to students. All parents are also encouraged, along with their non-Redwood children, to participate in Family Fun Night which was held three times during the year. Parents interested in volunteer opportunities or other activities may contact our office at 467-0500.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


Last updated: 1/3/2018

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

	School			District			State		
Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	6.2%	4.3%	11.5%	10.7%	9.7%
Graduation Rate	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	77.9%	84.4%	91.1%	81.0%	82.3%	83.8%



Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016

(One-Year Rate)

Student Group	School	District	State
All Students	100.0%	86.8%	87.1%
Black or African American	0.0%	100.0%	79.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	83.3%	80.2%
Asian	0.0%	66.7%	94.4%
Filipino	100.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Hispanic or Latino	100.0%	86.3%	84.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	100.0%	86.6%
White	100.0%	86.6%	91.0%
Two or More Races	100.0%	100.0%	90.6%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100.0%	86.4%	85.5%
English Learners	0.0%	74.6%	55.4%
Students with Disabilities	100.0%	74.6%	63.9%
Foster Youth	0.0%	75.0%	68.2%


State Priority: School Climate


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School				District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Suspensions	2.6%	1.9%	1.9%	7.5%	6.9%	8.8%	3.8%	3.7%	3.7%	
Expulsions	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	





Last updated: 1/3/2018

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The plan is reviewed and revised annually by the Coordinator of Business and Facilities, Jim Switzer. The staff reviews the plan twice a year, once at our back to school meeting in August and again at a staff day in March. The plan includes information about evacuation procedures, intruder alerts, and how to report safety concerns.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2007-2008
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	73.3%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

2014-15				2015-16				2016-17				
		Numb	er of Clas	ises *		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Numb	er of Cla	sses *
Subject	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	22.0	3	3	0	24.0	2	4	0	24.0	2	4	0
Mathematics	16.0	7	1	0	13.0	5	1	0	12.0	6	1	0
Science	20.0	3	3	0	24.0	3	2	0	25.0	1	4	0
Social Science	15.0	6	2	0	15.0	8	1	0	14.0	6	3	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.3	40.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.5	N/A
Other	1.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8689.7	\$292.7	\$8397.1	\$48547.8
District	N/A	N/A		\$66898.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	200.0%	-31.8%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574.0	\$74476.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	24.4%	-42.2%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.


Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)


Redwood Academy provides a comprehensive academic program that enables students, regardless of previous academic history, to achieve the University of California "a-g" requirements. The school is a Title I School Wide Program school and uses Title I and Title II funds to meet the needs of a wide range of adolescents.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,604	\$46,511
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,549	\$73,293
Highest Teacher Salary	\$88,440	\$92,082
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$100,315	\$113,263
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,423	\$120,172
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$114,746	\$131,203
Superintendent Salary	\$176,945	\$213,732
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36.0%	36.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/ .





Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	1	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	3	N/A
All Courses	5	13.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

Professional Development

The staff works together regularly in an atmosphere of collaboration to develop the program and skills. All new teachers participate in induction activities and/or professional development related to use of technology. In addition, the school provides ten days of pre-service workshops and preparation time, two days during the school year, and three days following the year for assessment and planning. All staff members are encouraged to attend conferences and workshops that support growth in their areas of responsibility. The school's current professional development plan focuses heavily on Advanced Placement courses and instruction as well as the Common Core State Standards and NGSS.